

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,717	09/19/2003	Jiangxiao Mo	100200227-1	9022	
22879	22879 7590 11/16/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			CULLER	CULLER, JILL E	
P O BOX 27:	2400, 3404 E. HARMONY	ROAD			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLL	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/666,717	MO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Jill E. Culler	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 September 2004.						
•	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-23 and 26-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	20011 / Ppilodilott (1 10-102)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group 1, claims 1-11 and 24-25 in the reply filed on September 7, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the groups all have the same classification and the field of search would be the same. This is not found persuasive because although the primary classification for the groups is the same, the claimed structures vary significantly and therefore two independent searches would be required.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 82, found in Figure 1b. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the

examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear how the spring pick block can comprise the corner media separator as it appears from the disclosure that these are two distinct structures. Because the scope of the claim cannot be determined, prior art cannot be applied to this claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 9, 11, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,624,197 to Morikawa et al.

With respect to claims 1 and 24, Morikawa et al. teaches a spring pick block, 54, comprising: an inclined surface, 62, having a lower end and configured to engage a

Art Unit: 2854

leading edge of a media stack; see column 6, lines 60-65, an indentation, formed near the lower end of the inclined surface, having a substantially vertical surface; see column 7, lines 39-52, and a spring arm, 60, movably disposed with respect to the inclined surface, and movable between: a rearward position in which the spring arm is disposed rearward; and a forward position in which the spring arm is disposed forward. See column 7, lines 6-15.

With respect to claims 2-4, Morikawa et al. teaches the spring arm has an attached end secured near an upper end of the spring pick block, and a free end movably disposed near the indentation at the lower end of the spring pick block, wherein the spring arm is resilient, and bends between the rearward and forward positions. Morikawa et al. also teaches a gap formed in the inclined surface of the spring pick block; wherein the spring arm is disposed in the gap and movable into and out of the indentation. See column 7, lines 1-15 and Fig. 7.

With respect to claims 5-7, Morikawa et al. teaches the inclined surface is disposed at a front wall, 70, that defines a feed end of a media feed area configured to receive the leading edge of the media stack, further comprising attachment means, 76, for attaching the inclined surface to the front wall including at least one attachment arm, extending rearward with respect to the inclined surface, with the front wall held between the inclined surface and the at least one attachment arm. See column 7, lines 39-67 and Fig. 6.

With respect to claim 9, Morikawa et al. teaches the indentation extends laterally across the inclined surface; wherein the spring arm has an attachment end integrally

formed with the inclined surface, a free end pivotally disposed near the lower end of the inclined surface, and an upper surface that is substantially flush with the inclined surface in the forward position. See column 7, lines 1-15 and Fig. 7.

With respect to claims 11 and 25, Morikawa et al. teaches the spring arm is disposed rearward in the rearward position in response to a greater load imposed by a higher media stack with the leading edge disposed in the indentation; and wherein the spring arm is disposed forward in the forward position in response to a lesser load imposed by a lower media stack with the leading edge disposed substantially out of the indentation. See column 7, lines 25-52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morikawa et al.

Morikawa et al. teaches all that is claimed as in the above rejection of claims 1-7, 9, 11, 24 and 25. Although Morikawa et al. does not specifically teach that the inclined surface forms an angle between approximately 25 and 35 degrees with respect to vertical; and wherein the substantially vertical surface forms an angle between approximately 0 and 10 degrees with 15 respect to vertical, it would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/666,717 Page 6

Art Unit: 2854

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to determine the optimal positioning of the device through routine experimentation.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 4,887,806 to Tanaka et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,879,003 to Kovach et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,895,040 to Oleksa et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,267,371 to Jessop and U.S. PGPUB 2004/0032077 to Oh et al. each teach a printer media supply having obvious similarities to the claimed subject matter.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill E. Culler whose telephone number is (571) 272-2159. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,717

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jec

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7